Nonlocal Cooper Pair Splitting in a $pSn$ Junction
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Perfect Cooper pair splitting is proposed, based on crossed Andreev reflection (CAR) in a $p$-$type semiconductor-superconductor-$n$-$type semiconductor ($pSn$) junction. The ideal splitting is caused by the energy filtering that is enforced by the band structure of the electrodes. The $pSn$ junction is modeled by the Bogoliubov–de Gennes equations and an extension of the Blonder-Tinkham-Klapwijk theory beyond the Andreev approximation. Despite a large momentum mismatch, the CAR current is predicted to be large. The proposed straightforward experimental design and the 100% degree of pureness of the nonlocal current open the way to $pSn$ structures as high quality sources of entanglement.
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Spatially separated entangled electron pairs arise in hybrid normal-metal-superconductor structures. Andreev reflection (AR) is the conversion of an electron into a hole at the interface between a normal-metal or semiconductor and a superconductor [1]. This makes CAR a promising source of locally separated entangled electrons as building block for solid state Bell-inequality experiments, quantum computation and quantum teleportation [4,5].

The Bell inequality can only be violated when the CAR fraction is larger than $1/\sqrt{2}$ of the total current [6]. Despite the intensive investigation, the CAR fraction is usually small due to competing processes [7]. Aside from local AR, tunneling of a particle from one lead to another can occur. Elastic cotunneling (EC) is to lowest order in tunneling amplitude equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to CAR, resulting in a vanishing nonlocal conductance [8]. Including higher order terms, which become important in more transparent junctions, unfortunately provides EC to be the dominant process [9].

Several proposals have been put forward to enhance the CAR current. Using ferromagnetic half-metals (F) as leads can result in dominant CAR in an antiparallel magnetization alignment [3], though spin entanglement is then questionable. Pure nonlocal Cooper pair splitting is predicted in a superconductor-topological insulator structure [10], but the fabrication will be challenging. Both the electromagnetic environment [11] and a change in the density of states (DOS) of a superconductor due to an ac bias [12] can result in dominant CAR, though the influences are expected to be small. A larger effect is predicted by Cayssol [13] in an $n$-type graphene superconductor-$p$-type graphene junction. However, full cancellation of EC and AR is only at precise biasing to the Dirac point and at a small range of the energy spectrum so that the current is not completely carried by CAR. Optimization of CAR may also be realized by using the Coulomb interaction [4]. Recent experiments indicated great potential of using the energy to discriminate CAR [15], although the splitting efficiency is yet small.

Here, we propose a strategy for ideal 100% nonlocal Cooper pair splitting, with no contributions from AR and EC, in a relatively straightforward device. Making use of the energy difference between the incoming electron and the Andreev reflected hole, in combination with band structure imposed forbidden energies, enables the cancellation of both AR and EC individually, while still having a significant CAR probability. This idea is shown in Fig. 1.

The asymmetry of the band structure allows only CAR to occur since particles due to EC and AR will end up in forbidden states in the band structure, the band alignment being tunable by proper gating.

![FIG. 1](color online). (a) NSN structure with gates attached to the semiconductor. By applying gate-voltages, the semiconductor bands in each electrode can be tuned with respect to the Fermi energy. A bias voltage applied at the left NS interface results in a nonlocal conductance at the second interface. (b) In standard NSN structures an incident electron can result in normal reflection (R). (c) In an $nSp$ junction it is possible to have perfect nonlocal Cooper pair splitting.
A wide range of materials are suitable as electrodes. Examples are nanowires, where the band gap can be tuned by the length of the wire, miniband semiconductors such as bilayer nanowires, and narrow band semiconductors in general. Impurity bands can be used when there is significant density of states at an energy ± Δ from the semiconducting band gap, generally in the case of low doping concentrations. Energy bands or levels that arise from quantum confinement in general can be used, as long as gapped energy regions exist that prohibit AR and EC. The proposed type of energy filtering is not only of use as Andreev entangler, but can also serve as energy beam splitter in, for example, FSF devices. This opens up an alternative route towards Bell-inequality experiments; the spin might be employed to split the Cooper pairs, since the energy can be utilized for the read out.

We model the NSN system by extending the classical Blonder-Tinkham-Klapwijk model [14] to three dimensions and by including the second interface. The BTK model has been used in modeling SNS and FSB structures where the dimension of the sandwiched layer is close to E [15]. In the present case of a pSn junction, the need to model in three dimensions stems from the large Fermi momentum mismatch between a semiconductor and a superconductor. Because of the conservation of momentum parallel to the interfaces, a critical angle between momentum and interface normal exists above which no transfer can take place. Each of the AR, CAR, and EC probabilities is characterized by an energy and angle dependent effective barrier. Since excitation energies are comparable to or larger than the Fermi energy of the electrodes, CAR is enhanced since CAR has the lowest critical angle as compared to the other scatter processes [13].

The system can be solved by applying the boundary conditions \( \Psi_{N_1,2}^{(0^-, d^+)} = \Psi_{E_p}^{(0^+, d^-)} \) together with \( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{N_1}^{(0^-, d^+)}(0^-, d^-)}{\partial r_{N_1}^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_{N_1}^{(0^-, d^+)}(0^-, d^-)}{\partial r_{N_1}^2} \). This extended BTK model reproduces the results in NSN structures found previously by other models. The nonlocal conductance \( G_{NL} \) vanishes due to the cancellation of CAR by EC in the tunnel limit [8], while EC is dominant in transparent regimes [9], and the electrode separation distance dependence of \( G_{NL} \) is exponential. Charge imbalance is not taken into account in this model, but the CAR enhancement effects as described in this Letter also occur in the range \( E < \Delta \) at temperatures \( T \ll T_c \), where this effect is absent [16].

In order to enhance the CAR current to 100%, \( p \) and \( n \) type semiconductors will be implemented now as the two electrodes. We investigate the generic example of a semiconductor possessing a band gap \( E_g \gg \Delta \), one valence band and one conduction band, each with parabolic dispersion. Even scattering processes at energies within the forbidden semiconductor band gap have nonzero probabilities at the interfaces, influencing other processes, and thus need to be taken into account when solving the wave equations. Still, the wave function of these particles decay exponentially in the electrodes and do not contribute to the current by themselves, since we consider electrode lengths much larger than \( \xi \).

Figure 2 shows the numerically obtained probabilities for perpendicular incidence, zero bias, transparent interfaces (the BTK barrier strength \( Z = \frac{H}{\hbar v_F} = 0 \) [14]) and in a regime of large momentum mismatch. The probabilities are given by the absolute squared values of the prefactors in ansatz Eq. (2). Normal reflection \( R \), EC, AR, and CAR
probabilities are considered at the respective interfaces, while the quasiparticle (QP) states in the superconductor are taken far from the interface thereby vanishing at energies below $\Delta$. In the regime of large momentum mismatch, the angle dependence below the critical angle and the bias and gating dependence of the probabilities follow $P(E) = P_0(E)[1 - e^{-V/E_1}] \cos^2(\theta)$, where $P_0$ refers to the unbiased probability at perpendicular incidence. As an example, we consider AI as the superconductor, resulting in a large effective barrier originating from a large ratio $\mu/\Delta = 5.8 \times 10^4$. Despite the effective barrier, CAR is found to have a considerable magnitude for $d$ being close to the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer coherence length $\xi = \hbar v_F / 2\Delta$. Averaging the probabilities by $P = \int d^{d+1/2}z F_S P(z)dz$ with $\lambda F_S$ the Fermi wavelength in the superconductor is necessary in order to let Fabry-Perot resonances vanish, in practice washed out by roughness.

The current density in the electrodes is obtained from

$$J_c = \frac{1}{V_d} \sum_{k, \alpha, \pm} J_q(k, \pm) e_c f(k, \pm).$$

Here, $d$ is the dimension and $V_d$ the volume of the system, the sum $\pm$ is over electrons and holes, $f$ is the nonequilibrium distribution and $J_q$ is the charge current defined by $J_{q,\pm}(z) = e\hbar m |u'(r^*)| \nabla u(r)$. From this it follows that the CAR and EC current are opposite in sign, since the respective group velocities in $N_2$, given in the ansatz Eq. (2), are opposite in sign. Finally, the current in an electrode becomes $I = \frac{A}{2\pi \hbar} \int dE f \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \cos \theta \sin \theta \sum_{\pm} |k| m J_q(k, \pm)$. The integration is over all energy modes that contribute to the tunneling, limited by the lowest DOS of the initial and final state for a certain process. Even nonideal $p$Sn junctions with nonvanishing DOS in the bandgap or improper Fermi level aligning enhance CAR, since the DOS will be lowest for the AR and EC processes. The distribution functions are given by $f_1 = f_0(E - eV_{N1}) - f_0(E - eV_S)$ and $f_{2,\pm}(k) = f_0(E - eV_{N1}) - f_0(E - e\max|V_{N2} - V_{N2}|)$, with $f_0(E)$ the Fermi distribution function. Positive or negative biasing at the second electrode decreases the EC or CAR processes, respectively. Current flowing to the superconductor is defined positive, so AR and CAR are positive in sign and EC negative.

Figure 3 shows the IV characteristics for a $p$Sn junction with fixed gate voltages, so that $E_{c1} + 0.6\Delta = \mu = E_{c2}$. At negative bias across the first interface, the first electrode has available states above and below $\mu$ whereas the second electrode has only states below $\mu$. AR and EC are therefore possible, while CAR is prohibited. For positive bias voltages, direct electron transfer is no longer possible and the nonlocal current is carried by CAR only. AR is significantly reduced by the critical angle and limited DOS and totally vanishes for $eV > 0.6\Delta$ where available states for AR are absent. The device works as a perfect Cooper pair splitter for $0.6\Delta < eV < \Delta$. Above the gap a quasiparticle current appears in the superconductor resulting in a lower splitting efficiency.

Maximizing the bias regime in which $CAR = 100\%$ can be achieved by tuning the gate voltage such that always $E_{c1} = \mu = E_{c2}$, irrespective of the bias voltage. This bias situation is shown in Fig. 4. For all positive bias voltages below $\Delta$, $CAR = 100\%$. AR and EC are forbidden due to the band gap in the electrodes. The DOS for incoming
dependence on the bias voltage (is no critical angle lowering the CAR probability, since electrons is equal to the DOS for outgoing holes and there is no critical angle lowering the CAR probability, since $E_p = 0$. Consequently, the nonlocal current is maximized to a relatively large value, being typically a few $\mu A$.

We now address the question what materials would be best suited. The discrimination between AR, EC, and CAR leading to 100% crossed Andreev reflection in a $pSn$ junction is caused by: the forbidden band gap (which is our main effect leading to 100% CAR), the variation in density of states and the critical angle. The elasticity condition than the superconductor width being comparable to $\xi$, necessary to have a significant nonlocal current. With current nanolithography methods and using Al as superconductor these requirements are easily fulfilled. For the semiconductors, InAs two-dimensional electron gases are ideal candidates, since no Schottky barrier is formed in contact to Al [17]. The effect of the critical angle may vanish in the diffusive limit, but perfect Cooper pair splitting due to the forbidden band gap remains robust against disorder. Nb/InAs structures are therefore also suited. Even though $\xi_{Nb} < \xi_{Al}$, the larger superconducting gap of Nb simplifies the band alignment and increases the magnitude of the nonlocal current. Schottky barriers reduce the nonlocal current, but the splitting is found to remain ideal when using a nonzero barrier strength. Al/GaAs heterostructures are, therefore, suitable as well [18]. Finally, we mention that electronic gate controllable InAs nanowires have been contacted to Al with high interface transparency [19], making it an ideal system for entanglement experiments where a reduced number of propagating modes are required.

In conclusion, we have proposed a $pSn$ junction that can be used to prepare a pure Bell state by forward biasing and can act as a perfect Cooper pair splitter by reversed biasing, while having significant currents.
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