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Abstract—This paper deals with additional “X-ray fractography” observations made on C45 steel,
subsequent to the earlier work [K. Rajanna, B. Pathiraj and B. H. Kolster, Fatigue fracture surface
Fatigue crack growth tests were carried out at 20°C on CT samples prepared from this steel using different
stress ratios (0.1R, 0.5R and 0.7R). Tests were also carried out at different temperatures (−20°C, 20°C
and 115°C) using a stress ratio of 0.1. The fractured surfaces were analysed for their residual stress state.
The influence of the test conditions on the residual stresses developed is discussed. Copyright © 1996
Elsevier Science Ltd.

1. INTRODUCTION

The method to analyse fracture surfaces using X-ray diffraction is known as “X-ray
fractography” [1]. In this method, the measured residual stress (σr) and the Full Width at Half
Maximum (FWHM, denoted by “B”) are correlated to the fracture mechanics parameters such
as maximum stress intensity factor (Kmax), stress intensity factor range (ΔK) or fracture toughness
(Kt). The method was employed by many investigators to analyse the fracture mechanisms in a
specimen tested for fatigue crack growth rate [2-6] of different materials. In all these investigations,
tensile residual stresses were observed at the fracture surface. Different trends were noticed in the
variation of σr with Kmax or ΔK. These include: a monotonic increase [7], a monotonic decrease [8]
and an increase followed by a decrease [2, 3, 9].

In general, the magnitude of σr is found to increase with increasing stress ratio “R”,
particularly in the lower ΔK region [2]. Different results show that R-ratio has an influence on the
σr - Kmax relation, but not on the σr - ΔK relation [10]. However, there are other reports showing
the influence of R-ratio to be confined to σr - ΔK but not to σr - Kmax [7]. With regard to the
relation of B with Kmax or ΔK, in a few cases it is found to be more dependent on monotonic loading
(Kmax) rather than on cyclic loading (ΔK) [2, 11] while in some other cases B is found to be influenced
by R-ratio [12]. These observations suggest that the σr - Kmax (ΔK) or B - Kmax (ΔK) relationships
are dependent on the material and its response to cyclic loading (strain hardening behaviour).

More encouraging results have been reported by many investigators from sub-surface X-ray
analysis. The most promising application of this method is found in the determination of the
monotonic plastic zone size, r p. It was found that the r p values determined through the sub-surface
X-ray analysis at different locations on the fracture surface are in good agreement with the
predicted r p values according to the relationship given below.

\[ r_p = \alpha \left( \frac{K_{\text{max}}}{\sigma_{YS}} \right)^{\gamma} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In most of these investigations, a linear relationship was reported between the r p values
obtained from X-ray sub-surface analysis and \((K_{\text{max}}/\sigma_{YS})^2\), whose slope was found to be the same
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as the one determined by finite element analysis ($\alpha = 0.15$) [13]. However, in some cases differences were noticed in slopes from the theoretical "$\alpha$" value. These differences were attributed to the differences in $\sigma_{ys}$ as a consequence of fatigue cycling effects (cyclic softening or hardening behaviour).

In sub-surface X-ray analysis, an increase in the level of residual stresses ($\sigma_r$) with depth has been observed by several investigators. The depth at which the peak stress is observed was found to increase with $K_{max}$ or $\Delta K$. This led to a conclusion [14] that the depth could be related to the reverse plastic zone size, $r_{pc}$, within which the material is plastically deformed in tension and compression during each load cycle. Some others have also attempted [15] to provide an explanation along similar lines for different trends observed in the distributions of $\sigma_r$ and $B$ as a function of depth below the fracture surface. A reasonably good agreement was seen between the theoretically predicted and experimentally obtained $r_{pc}$ values. The reverse plastic zone, $r_{pc}$, for different $\Delta K$ is calculated using the following equation:

$$r_{pc} = x \left[ \frac{\Delta K}{\sigma_{ys}} \right]^{1/2}$$

In the above eqs (1) and (2) $\sigma_{ys}$ and $\sigma_{ys}'$ represent the yield stress values in monotonic and cyclic loading conditions.

Bignonnet et al. [16] have observed a plateau region in the $\sigma_r$ distribution in the presence of a lower stress ratio. They explain that this plateau was caused mainly by crack closure. In some other investigations [2], the relationship between the $r_{pc}$ and $\sigma_r$ distributions was not clear. In these cases, the peaks in the $\sigma_r$ distribution were observed at a depth which was found to be the same as the roughness of the fracture surface. As a result the depth at which peaks were observed was attributed to the roughness of the fracture surface. The observed low residual stress at the fracture surface was attributed [9] to the stress relief caused by the roughness of the fractured surfaces.

From the above discussions it may be noted that though several explanations have been offered for an increase in $\sigma_r$ below the fracture surface, an unequivocal explanation is still not available. In an attempt to provide a reasonable explanation for the different trends observed in the distributions of $\sigma_r$ and $B$ with $K_{max}$ as well as the depth, detailed studies were carried out on fatigue fracture surfaces of C45 steel in different heat treated conditions, austenitic stainless and duplex steels [17]. The present investigation studies the influence of stress ratio (greater than 0.1$R$) and the test temperature on X-ray fractography results, in particular the $\sigma_r$ and $B$ variations with $K_{max}$ and the depth below fracture surface in a C45 steel.

2. MATERIAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

2.1. Material

A hot rolled medium carbon structural steel of C45 grade was selected in this investigation. The chemical composition of the steel is shown in Table 1. Blanks of suitable dimensions were cut from the stock material in order to prepare different test specimens. These were solutionised at 850°C for 1 hr and subsequently water quenched. Thereafter, they were subjected to a tempering treatment at 600°C for 2 hrs.

2.2. Specimen preparation details

Flat samples with 10 mm x 7 mm cross section and 50 mm gauge length were prepared for determining the tensile properties. 15 mm thick Compact Tension Specimens (CTS) were prepared according to the ASTM E-647 standard for fatigue crack growth tests. Machine notches were made in CT specimens using spark erosion technique with the notch length coinciding with the rolling direction. The notch lengths were made to correspond to 0.3 crack length-to-width ratio ($a/w$).

| Table 1. Chemical composition (weight %) of the steel investigated |
|------------------|------|-----|-----|
| C                | 0.44 | 0.64| 0.23| 0.032 |
2.3. Experimental procedures

An electro-mechanical test system of 250 kN capacity (Schenck Trebel RM 250 type) was employed to perform the tensile tests at room temperature according to the DIN 50145 standard and the results are shown in Table 2.

All fatigue crack growth tests were conducted on a 100 kN universal static/dynamic MTS servo hydraulic test system, following the procedure described in ASTM E-647 standard. The tests were performed at room temperature (20°C) using 0.1, 0.5 and 0.7 R-ratios and at -20, 20 and 115°C temperatures using 0.1 R-ratio. Fatigue crack growth rate test results are presented in the normal log (da/dN) vs log (ΔK) plots in Fig. 1 for the tests performed at different stress ratios and in Fig. 2 for the tests performed at different temperatures. The determined Paris constants for stage II region of tests performed using different R-ratios and different temperatures are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The values of “m” for different stress ratios and for different temperatures indicate that the crack growth rate decreases with increasing temperature and increases with increasing stress ratio.

2.4. X-ray analysis

X-ray analysis of the fatigue fractured surfaces of the specimens was performed using the multiple exposure sin²θ method [18]. A portable X-ray stress analyser Rigaku strainflex MSF-2M was employed for this purpose. All X-ray studies were carried out using \{211\} reflection and Cr Kα radiation. The X-ray irradiated area was restricted to a strip of 10 mm in length and about 1 mm in width. The measurements were performed in the direction of crack growth and at different crack locations which correspond to different Kmax or ΔK values. The sub-surface stress distribution was determined from measurements made after removing layers of material by electropolishing.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Fracture surface analysis

The distributions of the measured residual stress (σr) as well as the FWHM (B) of the diffraction profile (at θ = 0 deg.), as a function of Kmax are shown in Figs 3 and 4, respectively.

![Fig. 1. Log (da/dN) vs Log ΔK plots for tests performed using different R-ratios.](image-url)
for the fatigue crack growth tests performed at 20°C using 0.1R, 0.5R and 0.7R. For tests performed at −20, 20 and 115°C using a 0.1R, the variation of $\sigma$ and $B$ as a function of $K_{\max}$ are shown in Figs 5 and 6, respectively.

3.1.1. X-ray analysis of the fractured surfaces of specimens tested using different stress ratios. The $\sigma - K_{\max}$ relationship for 0.7R test condition (Fig. 3) exhibits a monotonically decreasing trend while for 0.1R and 0.5R test conditions exhibit an increase to a maximum followed by a decrease. In the initial stages (up to a $K_{\max}$ value of about 60 MPa $\sqrt{m}$), the $\sigma$ values are larger for 0.7R and lower for 0.1R test conditions. This observation is in agreement with the result of an elasto-plastic finite element analysis [2] in predicting the magnitude of $\sigma$ at the fracture surface and its variation as a function of stress ratio. Beyond 60 MPa $\sqrt{m}$ the trends are opposite in nature. The maximum value of $\sigma$ is ca 250 MPa for 0.1 and 0.5R test conditions. However, the $K_{\max}$ at which this maximum occurs shifts to a lower level for tests performed using higher stress ratios. For 0.7R a maximum $\sigma$ value of ca 325 MPa is seen in the initial stages of crack growth itself (Fig. 3).

Changes in FWHM ($B$) as a function of $K_{\max}$ (Fig. 4) show a gradual increase for 0.1 and 0.5R test conditions. For 0.7R the variation is marginal in the stable crack growth fatigue region (up to ca 70 MPa $\sqrt{m}$). Beyond a $K_{\max}$ value of about 80 MPa $\sqrt{m}$, the $B$ variations show a sharply increasing trend. In general, the $B$ values at any $K_{\max}$ are larger for 0.7R than those for the other stress ratios.

3.1.2. X-ray analysis of the fractured surfaces of specimens tested using different test temperatures. The distribution of $\sigma$ with $K_{\max}$ at −20°C shows an initial increase in the $K_{\max}$ range of 20 to 45 MPa $\sqrt{m}$ (Fig. 5) and a decrease to almost 0 MPa level at a $K_{\max}$ value of ca 60 MPa $\sqrt{m}$. In all the locations corresponding to the fast fracture regions the $\sigma$ remained at
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Table 3. Paris constants for tests carried out using different $R$ values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test condition</th>
<th>0.1R</th>
<th>0.5R</th>
<th>0.7R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m$ (m/cycle/MPa $\sqrt{m}$)</td>
<td>4.269</td>
<td>5.309</td>
<td>6.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$ (m/cycle)</td>
<td>$1.33 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$1.73 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$1.49 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Paris constants for tests carried out at different temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test condition</th>
<th>−20°C</th>
<th>20°C</th>
<th>115°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m$ (m/cycle/MPa $\sqrt{m}$)</td>
<td>4.924</td>
<td>4.269</td>
<td>2.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$ (m/cycle)</td>
<td>$2.59 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td>$1.33 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$1.59 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some studies on the influence of stress ratio

0 MPa. The corresponding $B$ distributions (Fig. 6) show a monotonic increase up to ca. 45 MPa$\sqrt{m}$, at which the $\sigma_r$ starts decreasing. These observations are similar to those noticed with C45 steel specimens examined in quenched and 720°C annealed conditions [1]. The $B - K_{\text{max}}$ relationships for 20 and 115°C temperatures did not show significant differences up to ca. 70 MPa$\sqrt{m}$. The $\sigma_r$ variations at 20 and 115°C are similar to those with 0.1R and 0.5R conditions (Figs 3 and 6). The $K_{\text{max}}$ at which the maximum $\sigma_r$ occurs, shifts to a lower value (45 MPa$\sqrt{m}$) for tests performed at 115°C in comparison to that noticed for 20°C (65 MPa$\sqrt{m}$). In an earlier investigation [1], the $\Delta K$ corresponding to the $K_{\text{max}}$ at which the peak $\sigma_r$ occurs, was found to correspond to that at which the transition from stage II to stage III fatigue crack growth occurs. However, no such correspondence was noticed in the present investigation.

With increasing plastic deformation larger tensile strains are developed within the plastic zone in the crack growth direction. As the crack passes through, the elastic part of these strains will
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Fig. 3. Residual stress distribution as a function of $K_{\text{max}}$ and $R$-ratio.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

Fig. 4. FWHM ($B$) distribution as a function of $K_{\text{max}}$ and $R$-ratio.
relax. However, relaxation to the full extent is constrained by the surrounding elastically deformed material. As a result, tensile residual stress will be registered at the fracture surface. The combined influence of the plastic deformation and relaxation effects will therefore determine the magnitude of the residual stress at the fracture surface. The magnitude of such tensile stresses will increase with cumulative strain cycling effects, which increase with crack length or $\Delta K$ or $K_{\text{max}}$. On this basis, one can generally expect an increasing $\sigma_t - K_{\text{max}}$ trend in fatigue fracture analysis. The higher the $K_{\text{max}}$, the material ahead of the crack tip will experience cycling at larger plastic amplitudes but for a smaller number of fatigue cycles. Thus, at locations in the later parts of stage III, where the $K_{\text{max}}$ is higher, the mode of deformation will be monotonic in comparison to fatigue cycling in stage II. Normally such a deformation will lead to the development of compressive residual stress, the magnitude of which increases with the amount of deformation. This seems to result in the decreasing $\sigma_t - K_{\text{max}}$ trend in the fracture surface analysis for most of the stage III locations. When
Some studies on the influence of stress ratio

The effect due to monotonic deformation becomes greater than that due to the fatigue deformation, one can even expect net compressive residual stress. The lower $\sigma$ values (close to 0 MPa) observed in fast fracture regions of the sample tested at $-20^\circ$C, as well as that of the earlier analysed sample in annealed condition [1], could be attributed to such an effect. The fracture features in this region were found to be fully cleavage, in both the cases.

From the above discussion, it may be assumed that the increasing trends in the lower $K_{\text{max}}$ regions can be attributed to the fatigue cycling effects while the decreasing trends to the increased monotonic loading effects. For specimens tested at 115$^\circ$C using 0.1$R$ and at 20$^\circ$C using 0.7$R$, the observed larger residual stress in the initial locations (corresponding to lower $K_{\text{max}}$ or $\Delta K$ regions), can be attributed to the higher deformation effects at the crack tip. The higher deformation in the former was due to the uniform heating of the entire specimen to a higher temperature, while in the later case due to the higher stress.
3.2. Sub-surface X-ray analysis

Variations in residual stresses ($\sigma_r$) with sub-surface depth measured at a few $K_{\text{max}}$ values for the different stress ratios employed (0.1, 0.5 and 0.7R) are shown in Figs 7–9. It may be noted that with increasing $R$ ratio, the difference between the initial surface residual stress value corresponding to increasing $K_{\text{max}}$, is increasing.

For all stress ratios the $\sigma_r$ values were found to increase up to a certain depth below the fracture surface, followed by a gradual decrease thereafter. The depth from fracture surface at which the $\sigma_r$ reaches a maximum is designated as $y_{\text{peak}}$. Figure 10 shows the variation of $y_{\text{peak}}$ with $K_{\text{max}}$ as a function of stress ratio for tests carried out at 20°C. At larger depths (not shown in the figures) $\sigma_r$ approached a minimum value of about $-5 \text{ MPa}$, which corresponds to the value that was measured on a sample subjected to similar heat treatment but not subjected to any fatigue
cycling [1, 17]. Corresponding variations in \( B \) with depth for different stress ratios are shown in Figs 11–13. These variations show a decrease at some depth below the fracture surface. At depths beyond this, the variations have exhibited increasing trends up to a few tenths of a millimetre.

The \( \sigma \) variations with depth below the fracture surface as a function of the test temperature (−20, 20 and 115°C) are shown in Figs 14–16. The \( \sigma \) values were again found to increase up to a certain depth below the fracture surface, followed by a gradual decrease thereafter. Figure 17 shows the variation of \( y_{\text{peak}} \) with \( K_{\text{max}} \) as a function of test temperature for tests carried out using 0.1R. Corresponding variations in \( B \) with depth for different test temperatures are shown in Figs 18–20. For tests conducted at −20°C the \( B \) variations exhibited a monotonic decreasing trend without any minima (Fig. 18). Similar observations were earlier made with C45

![Fig. 11. FWHM (B) distribution below fracture surface for 0.1R.](image1)

![Fig. 12. FWHM (B) distribution below fracture surface for 0.5R.](image2)
Fig. 13. FWHM ($B$) distribution below fracture surface for 0.7$R$.

Fig. 14. Residual stress distribution below fracture surface for $-20^\circ$C.
the fracture surface [2]. In the present investigation the $y_{peak}$ values were found to vary from a very low value to a maximum of ca 80 μm, except in one or two cases, where as the surface roughness was found to vary from 80 to 120 μm. This shows that the $y_{peak}$ value at any location of the fracture surface was smaller in magnitude when compared to the corresponding surface roughness and hence rules out the possibility to correlate the $y_{peak}$ to the fracture surface roughness. There were some reports [16] in which the crack closure effects were found to be responsible for the observed plateau at some depth below the fracture surface. However, no such plateau was observed in the present investigation (Figs 7–9 and 14–16). This confirms that $y_{peak}$ could not be due to crack closure effects. This was further confirmed by the presence of $y_{peak}$ for high stress ratios (0.5 and 0.7). Since $y_{peak}$ depths could not be correlated to any of the parameters as cited in the literature, an alternative explanation may be proposed as follows. In the earlier discussions it was mentioned

Fig. 15. Residual stress distribution below fracture surface for 20°C.

Fig. 16. Residual stress distribution below fracture surface for 115°C.
that the elastic component of the stresses developed within the plastically deformed region will relax as the crack passes through the region creating free surfaces. As a result, a low residual stress will result at the fracture surface in comparison to that at a certain depth below.

Variations in $B$ with depth show for specimens tested with different $R$ ratios (Figs 10-12) and at temperatures other than $-20^\circ C$, (Figs 17 and 18) an initial decrease followed by an increase within a few microns depth below the fracture surface. This indicates an initial softening of the material within these depths. This softening could be attributed to some recovery that takes place as a result of fatigue (dynamic) processes. Plastic strain cycling of the material lying close to and ahead of the crack tip and the associated effects are responsible for dynamic recovery. Preliminary TEM investigations [17] on a thin foil of material extracted from a few tens of microns below the fracture surface have supported the assumption of dynamic recovery. However, some more detailed investigations are necessary to confirm this.

![Graph showing $y_{peak}$ vs $K_{max}$ relationships for different temperatures.]

Fig. 17. $y_{peak}$ vs $K_{max}$ relationships for different temperatures.

![Graph showing FWHM ($B$) distribution below fracture surface for $-20^\circ C$.]

Fig. 18. FWHM ($B$) distribution below fracture surface for $-20^\circ C$. 
4. CONCLUSIONS

1. The observed tensile residual stresses at the fracture surface were attributed to the relaxation of stresses developed within the plastic zone and the influence of constraint by the underlying undeformed bulk material.

2. The increasing trends in $\sigma_r - K_{\text{max}}$ relationships were attributed to the fatigue cycling effects while the decreasing trends to the increased monotonic loading effects.

3. The observed larger tensile residual stresses at the fracture surface locations corresponding to the lower $K_{\text{max}}$ levels for 0.7R and 115°C conditions were attributed to the larger plastic deformation that occur at the crack tip as a result of the higher $K_{\text{max}}$ and temperature effects.
4. The observed lower residual stress ($\sigma_r$) at and within $y_{peak}$ depths from the fracture surface is attributed to relaxation of the stress already present within $r_p$ due to the crack propagation.

5. The observed minimum $B$ (FWHM) at some depth below the fracture surface in some of the sub-surface analysis can be due to some dynamic recovery.
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