Evanescent-field optical microscopy: effects of polarization, tip shape and radiative waves
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Recent results in evanescent-field optical microscopy are presented. A resolution of 30 nm in the lateral directions and 0.1 nm in height has been obtained by suitable tip fabrication. Both the direction of the exciting field and the tip shape are shown to affect the optical coupling efficiency and resolution. Near-field diffraction patterns are observed with high lateral resolution by interference between evanescent and propagating waves.

1. Introduction

The idea to use optical evanescent fields for high-resolution optical microscopy dates back to long before STM [1], yet STM has been essential to the progress of scanning near-field optical microscopy (SNOM) over the last years. Presently optical images with a lateral resolution of 10–100 nm have been obtained where the near-field optical probe is either an aperture [2–5] or a dielectric probe tip [6–8], both of nanometer size. The advantage of SNOM compared to STM and AFM is the fact that the optical interaction has a vectorial character and a specific frequency; consequently, the images display polarization contrast and can be spectroscopically analyzed [9–11].

We present improved resolution and new phenomena as observed with our evanescent-field optical microscope [8,12]. In this set-up the optical interaction between a dielectric probe tip and a dielectric sample in close proximity is detected. The system is excited by an evanescent field which, in turn, is generated by total internal reflection (TIR) at a substrate surface supporting the sample. The topographic and dielectric properties of the sample cause a variation in the near field, consisting of both evanescent and homogeneous waves. The sample is imaged in transmission by frustrating the evanescent field with a dielectric probe tip and detecting the fraction that is converted to a homogeneous wave into the probe. The tip is scanned over the sample surface either in constant-height mode, resulting in an image presenting the optical signal variation, or in constant-optical-flux mode ("optical tunneling"), resulting in an image representing topography and variation in the dielectric constant.

Reddick et al. [6] and Courjon et al. [7] have developed a similar set-up, referred to as photon scanning tunneling microscope (PSTM). A theoretical model describing the optical interaction between a dielectric tip and a surface has been presented by Labani [13] and Girard [14].

After a brief description of the working principle and the instrumentation, microscopic results displaying effects of polarization, tip shape and radiative waves are presented and discussed.

2. Localized frustrated total reflection

The effect of TIR is well known in optics and generally described by the Fresnel equations. Important for near-field microscopy is the occurrence of a non-radiative exponentially decaying field in the medium beyond the refractive index...
3. Instrumentation

The dielectric probe tips are fabricated by chemical etching of a single-mode fibre at the meniscus between a HF solution and paraffin oil. The tips have \( \sim 20^\circ \) apex and \( \sim 100 \text{ nm} \) radius. The evanescent field is generated by TIR of a linearly polarized HeNe laser beam (\( \lambda = 632.8 \text{ nm} \)) in a glass substrate (\( n = 1.515 \)). The fraction of the laser power coupled into the optical probe by FTR is typically \( 10^{-7} \), mainly determined by the ratio between the probe tip area and the illuminated sample surface area. Scan pattern generation, data-acquisition and 3D image visualization are controlled by a standard personal computer.

4. Results and discussion

Both homogeneous waves propagating in air caused by the presence of a sample and homogeneous waves generated in the fibre by FTR contribute to the detected signal and cannot be distinguished. The FTR contribution is confined to the near-field regime whereas the radiative waves extend into the far field. This is illustrated in fig. 2, where the probe is scanned over a 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) period.
grating structure in a plane perpendicular to the surface. The presence of radiative waves extending beyond the near field is obvious. The interference pattern with a period of about the wavelength is generated by radiative waves propagating in different directions and possibly also by stationary waves between tip and surface. Clearly, optical feedback is impossible for the field distribution in fig. 2. The ratio between the evanescent and radiating field contribution has to be optimized. The direction of the radiative waves depends on the angle of the grating lines relative to the plane of incidence, indicating that they are generated at the edges of the grating lines. For the specific case of a grating, orientation of the lines parallel to the plane of incidence reduces the scattering contribution. Use of a substrate with a higher refractive index, grazing-incidence illumination or a coated fibre could also be of advantage. In any case the characteristic period of the object should be well below the wavelength in order to avoid the disturbing effect of radiative waves.

Fig. 3 shows a constant-height scan in close proximity over the same 1 μm period grating for excitation with p-polarization (fig. 3a) and s-polarization (fig. 3b). The periodic field distribution corresponds to the period and direction of the grating structure. The optical signal level for p-polarization excitation is more stable and larger by a factor \( \frac{1}{2} \) than the signal for s-polarization. By scanning at a larger distance from the surface we have observed an increasing disturbance of the image by radiatively scattered fields.

Fig. 4 shows scans over a 10 μm period photosist grating structure, with steps 3.6 μm wide and 1.5 μm high, for p- (fig. 4a) and s-polarization (fig. 4b), both with the same tip in constant-
flux mode. The plane of incidence is parallel to the grating lines. The p-polarization image displays a grating with steps 4.3 μm wide and 1.5 μm high, while the s-polarization image only vaguely reveals the grating with 0.9 μm step height. Fig. 4a displays steep slopes on the grating edges which are the result of abrupt changes in feedback action. In fig. 4b a contamination of size smaller than 1 μm is present. Comparison shows that s-polarized excitation tends to couple light in the lateral direction, resulting in both wider and lower steps, whereas p-polarization couples preferentially in the vertical direction.

Tips are fabricated in a standardized procedure, yet their sharpness is rather variable. This is illustrated in fig. 5, showing microscopic images obtained with different tips. The sample is a SiN grating, fabricated by lithographic etching of a pCVD SiN layer, using a 1 μm period mask. The grating steps are 500 nm wide and 350 nm high. The grating is scanned in the constant-flux mode with s-polarized excitation. In fig. 5a the apparent image is a combination of grating topography and tip shape. The top face of the grating steps displays a smooth modulation pattern with a period of about the wavelength caused by interference of scattered waves. In fig. 5b the tip is so sharp that it follows the grating contour with an average edge width of 30 nm, and topographic structure in the trenches of the grating is resolved. Our observations indicate that the interference pattern becomes less prominent for sharper tips.

An image of a 91 nm latex sphere, adsorbed to the substrate surface, scanned in constant-height mode is shown in fig. 6a. The s-polarized laser beam is propagating from left to right. The image has been differentiated in the horizontal line scan direction in order to enhance the wave pattern surrounding the sphere. The pattern displays the modulation in field intensity caused by interference between the field scattered by the sphere and the evanescent wave propagating along the surface. The modulation period varies from about 300 nm for scattering waves propagating the incident wave to larger values for other directions. Similar features can be observed in earlier images [17,18]. The 91 nm latex sphere appears grey with about 500 nm dimension because its field intensity is more than two orders of magnitude larger than that of the surrounding fields and out of the dynamic range of the detection system.

Fig. 6b displays the near-field diffraction pattern of two 91 nm latex spheres, scanned in constant-flux mode, with the laser beam propagating from left to right. Due to the close proximity of the tip the spheres have been shifted during the scan. The fringe amplitude corresponds to a height variation of ~50 nm close to the spheres.

Fig. 5. (a) 3×3 μm scan over a 1 μm period SiN grating, with steps 500 nm wide and 350 nm high, in constant-flux mode with s-polarized laser beam. (b) As (a), but with a sharper tip.
Fig. 6. (a) Differentiated 5×8 μm image of a Ø 91 nm latex sphere with laser beam incident from left to right scanned in constant-height mode. (b) 11.2×11.2 μm image of two Ø 951 nm latex spheres with laser beam incident from left to right in constant-flux mode. (c) Calculated interference pattern (20λ×20λ) for two spherical wave sources separated by 16λ and a plane wave moving from left to right.

To ~2 nm at 10 μm distance. The fringe period is 320 ± 10 nm in the direction antiparallel to the incident wave.

We have calculated the field intensity distribution for two sources of spherical waves 16 wavelengths apart interfering with a plane wave. The result is plotted in fig. 6c with the plane wave propagating from left to right. The correspondence with figs. 6a and 6b is obvious. The fringe period is \( \lambda / (1 + \cos \phi) \), where \( \phi \) is the scatter-
ing direction relative to the incident beam, in agreement with the observation.

5. Conclusions

Our results clearly demonstrate the capacity of evanescent-field optical microscopy to obtain sub-wavelength resolution. The highest resolution is observed in constant-optical-flux mode. The edge resolution of ~30 nm is mainly limited by the tip shape. The vertical resolution is about 0.1 nm, limited by electronic noise.

Effects of the polarization direction on the apparent image have been observed. The optical coupling occurs preferentially in the direction along the exciting electric field, in agreement with the prediction by Labani [13]. p-Polarization images correspond well to the vertical topographic structure. s-Polarization couples less efficiently and might be more suitable for lateral structures.

The sharpness of the dielectric tip determines the lateral resolution and affects the discrimination between evanescent waves and radiative waves.

Interference between scattered light and the evanescent field allows detailed analysis of near-field Fresnel diffraction, both in amplitude and phase. On the other hand, the scattered light affects the feedback action in the constant-flux mode and the interference may dominate any topographic fine structure. Therefore, although the classical diffraction limit is surpassed in resolution, the presence of radiative waves is a fundamental problem in this type of near-field optical microscopy.
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